

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,778	02/19/2002		Peter Gaal	PA020248	7848
23696	7590	10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
Qualcomm	Incorpora	ited	PHU, SANH D		
Patents Depa 5775 Moreho				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2682	
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/079,778	GAAL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sanh D Phu	2682
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur</li> </ul>	This action is non-final. llowance except for formal mat	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8,20 and 23-25   5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 9-19,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	<u>27</u> is/are withdrawn from consid	leration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the second se	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/02 &amp; 11/6/03.</li> </ol>	18) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date · nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/079,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the Applicant's Election filed on
 7/22/04. Accordingly, claims 9-19, 21 and 22 were selected and claims 1-8,
 and 23-27 were canceled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9-12, 16, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olofsson et al (6,167,031).

Regarding to claims 9, 16 and 21, see figure 5, and col. 9, line 9 to col. 10, line 17, Olofsson et al discloses a communication method and associated system comprising:

Art Unit: 2682

a first subchannel generation step/means (40, 56, 62), (accounted for "re-sync subchannel generation system"), for generating first channel quality values (RX-LEV) (accounted for "full channel quality values") (see col. 9, lines 19-22); and

a second subchannel generation step/means (50, 56, 62), (accounted for "differential feedback subchannel generation system"), for generating second channel quality values (RX\_QUAL) as a measure of various levels of bit error rate, (accounted for "a plurality of incremental values) (see col. 9, lines 43–48), wherein the first channel quality values and the second quality values are multiplexed to be transmitted by means (56, 62).

Regarding to claim 10, Olofsson et al discloses that the first channel quality values are coded multiplexed with the second channel quality values by means (62) (see figure 5).

Regarding to claim 11, Olofsson et al discloses that the second channel quality values are time multiplexed with the first channel quality values by means (56) (see figure 5).

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding to claims 12 and 22, Olofsson et al discloses a data subchannel means (inherently included) for generating a tail or flag signal (32, 31) (see Fig. 4), considered as a flag that indicates a start of a transition period of a data burst (see figure 4, and col. 8, lines 46–52) wherein the tail or flag signal is multiplexed with the first and second quality values to be transmitted by means (62) (see figure 5).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olofsson et al (6,167,031), in view of Gilhousen et al (5,103,459).

Regarding to claim 13, Olofsson et al does not discloses whether a Walsh spreading element is used in the first subchannel generation step/means and not used in the second subchannel generation step/means.

Using different a Walsh spreading coder to spread data in a channel is well-known in the art so that said data can be distinguished and decoded at a receiving end. For instance, Gilhousen et al uses different Walsh spreading coders (218) and (236) to spread respective sync channel data and paging channel data so that these data can be distinguished and decoded at a receiving end (see figure 4a, and col. 17, line 13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art, when building or carrying out Olofsson et al invention, upon his design preference, to spread either of the first quality values in the first subchannel generation step/means and the second quality values in the second subchannel generation step/means with a Walsh coder or both of them each with a different Walsh coders so that said first and second quality values would be able to be distinguished and decoded at a receiving end.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,778

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding to claim 14, Olofsson et al does not disclose whether a common Walsh code is used in a data subchannel means and the second subchannel generation means.

Gilhousen et al teaches using a common Walsh code for coding signals at a transmitting site so that a receiving site, upon receiving said coded signals, can identify said transmitting site as the transmission source of said coded signals (see col. 8, lines 56–58 and col. 10, lines 6–8).

It would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art to use common Walsh code in Olofsson et al, as taught by Gilhousen et al, to spread data in a data subchannel means and the second channel quality values in the second subchannel generation means so that upon receiving said coded signals, would identify the transmission source of said coded signals.

Regarding to claim 15, as applied for claim 14, said common Walsh code can inherently be considered as an identification index.

Regarding to claim 18, Olofsson et al discloses that signals are generated and transmitted in frames (27) each having a plurality of slots (28) (see figure 3). He does not disclose said second channel quality values is generated over

Art Unit: 2682

each slot in a frame. However, generating a certain data in a portion or portions of a transmission interval for transmissions is well-known in the art, as being upon the design preference and system requirement. For instance, Gilhousen et al generates different data (see figures 7 and 13) on a transmission interval with different distributions. Therefore, upon the design preference and system requirement, it would have been obvious for a person skilled in the art to implement Olofsson et al system in view of Gilhousen et al to be capable of generating said second channel quality values over each slot in a frame.

Claim 17 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 18.

Claim 19 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 12 and 22.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanh D Phu whose telephone number is (703) 305-8635. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-16:30. fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,778

Art Unit: 2682

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866–217–9197 (toll-free).

Sanh D. Phu Examiner Art Unit 2682

SP

LESTER G. KINCAID PRIMARY EXAMINER